

## **Antecedents of Organizational Commitment of Lecturer in South Sumatera**

**Zainal Berlian**

*UIN Raden Fatah, Palembang*

---

**ABSTRACT:** *Theoretically it was predicted that leadership style and organizational culture have partially and simultaneously affected employees commitment to organization. Furthermore, those factors i.e. leadership style, organizational culture and organizational commitment have impact on lecture performance, this research was conducted at Palembang, South Sumatera. This research was conducted by using descriptive quantitative approach with questionnaire as the data gathering instrument. In addition to that explanatory approach was carried out to get a deeper insight on the research phenomenon 325 samples was collected from 5 (five) participating universities in South Sumatera, Palembang. Data analysis was carried out by using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). The research found that all independent variables have a significant effect on dependent variables both partially and simultaneously. Simultaneously it was found that leadership style and organizational culture affected organizational commitment by  $R^2 = 0.77$  with the most significant factor was on organizational communication. This result shows that there are still 43% of other factors that affected on organizational commitment. The next result was also gathered simultaneously which is the effect of leadership style, organizational culture and organizational commitment on employees 'performance with  $R^2 = 0.79$  with the most significant factor was on organizational commitment. This result shows that there are still 51% of other factors that affected on employees 'performance that needs to be looked into in further research.*

**Keywords:** *leadership style, organizational culture, organizational commitments.*

---

### **I. RESEARCH BACKGROUND**

Based on the reserach furthermore, research has shown that higher education institutions are facing major challenges regarding the management of the performance of academic staff (Mapesela & Strydom, 2004). It is therefore on the basis of the foregoing, as well as the preceding background that this study aims to address the following research problem that may assist the leadership in higher education institutions to face the challenges referred to in the study's background: the need for empirical evidence to confirm the relevance of the seven postulated performance measurement dimensions for lecturers posited by Robbins, Odendaal and Roodt (2007). Integrated Quality Management is an approach to maximize organizational competitiveness through continuous improvement on product, labor, and environmental processes 7 (Nasution, 2010). As an organizational strategy, in the MMT, the organization provides (commitment to continuous improvement of customer satisfaction by continually improve organizational processes (Wibowo, 2011). Komitmen'yang powerful of all members of the organization to improve the quality of products in the cycle of improvement continues -menerus is the first principle in the implementation of MMT (Deming in Sumarsan, 2013.186 and Sallis, 2007, IDO). management commitment is the first program in the implementation of MMT which better quality must be a shared commitment in which quality initiatives directed and led by senior management (Crosby in Sallis, 2007: 113). Therefore, the implementation of MMT requires proper leadership, communication effective organization, and organizational culture that supports, which can improve kineija employees continuously in a cycle of improvement of product quality through strengthening the commitment of all members of the organization (Ishikara in Nasution, 2010; Sumarsan, 2013).

#### **Problem Statement**

Based on the background of the above problems, study problems can be formulated as follows:

1. Is Leadership Style partial effect toward Organizational Commitment?
2. Is Organizational Culture effect toward Organizational Commitment?
3. Are Leadership Style and Organizational Culture toward Organizational Commitment?

#### **Research purposes**

Appropriate formulation of research problems, the purpose of this study was to assess and prove

1. To investigate the effect of Leadership Style toward Organizational Commitment
2. To investigate the effect of Organizational Culture toward Organizational Commitment
3. To investigate the effect of Leadership Style and Organizational Culture toward Organizational Commitment

## II. THEORITICAL BACKGROUND

Bass & Bass (in Usman, 2013) notes up to 1993 there were 221 Tahua understanding of leadership in 587 publications, and in 2005 Amazon.com has registered (18 229 leadership books, Google Scholar register 16,800 books and 386,000 citations leadership leadership. Data illustrates the breadth of discussion about leaders and leadership are also implications for the diversity of understanding and approaches developed in studies on leadership. Leaders are people who have certain skills that can influence their followers to cooperate towards the achievement of predetermined objectives (Sudrimunawar 2006; Mangunhajjana, 2007). While leadership is something that used to influence other people's leader, a process, an ability, courage, through communication, directly or indirectly, with the intent to stir up the people so that with full understanding and awareness will be willing to follow the leader. (Stoner in Umar, 2005; Yukl, 2009; Davis & Newstrom, 2005J 152; Terry and Frankin in Robbins, 2006: ; Hughes et al in Usman, 2013.308; Wirawjf 2013). Based on the above opinion, the authors assume that need to be pivotal records in the transformational leadership style, and it can distinguish with other styles Other leadership is an attempt to persuade leaders followers (subordinates and other staff) to make changes and to meet the future better (Daft, 2008; Hughes, Ginnet, Curphy, 2005.416; Robbins, (2006.472; Yukl, 2009), Based on the above theoretical study, the needs of the organization that has run the ISO is participatory leadership style-transformative research is leadership integrate participatory and transformative leadership style to move the organization in the achievement of organizational goals. The dimensions of participative leadership transformative, as it refers to Yukl (2009), Wirawan (2013), Bums and Bass (in Wirawan (2013) are: (1) participative leadership, and (2) transformative leadership. Dimensions of participative leadership, measured by indicators: (1) members are given the opportunity to be involved in the decision making process from the determination of the vision, mission, policies, operational activities, monitoring and evaluation, or at least at the level of each work; (2) there is a delegation of authority in accordance hierarchy of the organization; (3 ) there is the attempt to make room for the development of creativity and innovation from every member of the organization; (4) there is the attempt of leaders to integrate subordinate; and (5) seek to resolve conflicts or disputes tejadi in the organizational environment. the dimensions of transformative leadership measured by indicators: (1 ) has a transformative commitment through clarity of vision, mission, goals and objectives of the organization; (2) communicate the vision, mission, goals and objectives of the organization to all parties, especially subordinates in an organization; (3) continuously invites all parties, especially subordinates to prepare for pembahasan; and (4) reward subordinates terl successful in bekeija and had foresight, shown mi creativity and innovation. The concept of organizational culture first appeared in the 1970s and 1980s, (which term organizational culture is equated with the term corporate culture (Kusdi, 2011). This is consistent with the views expressed by Tika (2006, 7) that organizational culture has in common with culture companies, though there are special things on the corporate culture like style and management systems. the views are more specifically stated by Ndraha (2005, 9) which says that the studies relating to the organizational culture applies to the corporate culture, but the studies associated with the corporate culture, may not all apply to the organization's culture.

**Table 1. Grouping Theory of Organizational Culture**

No.	FOCUS CVF	Similarity Theory
1	<i>Clan Culture (Cameron and Quinn, 2006); The internal environment, prioritizing collectivity, social integration and flexibility.</i>	<i>Consensus Culture (Quinn dan Me Grath (2001); Constructive Culture (Kreitner dan Kinicki, 2001); Involvement Culture dan Consistency Culture (Denison,1990); high power distance, Collectivism, feminity dan weak Uncertainty Avoidance, Process oriente , Employee oriented, Close system, Tight control, Normative (Hofstede,2005).</i>
2	<i>Hierarchy Culture (Cameron and Quinn, 2006); The internal environment, perform the functions of coordination, stability, control is accompanied by standard work procedures, formal and detailed.</i>	<i>Presidential Culture (Desmon Grade, 1986)). High power distance, Collectivism, feminity dan weak Uncertainty Avoidance, Process oriented, employee oriented, Close system, Tight control, Normative (Hofstede, 2005).</i>
3	<i>Adhocracy Culture (Cameron and Quinn, 2006); The external environment, encourage hard work and innovation, individualistic, leave room for flexibility and differences</i>	<i>Pharaoic Culture dan Barbarian Culture (Deal dan Kennedy, 1982); Low power distance, individualism, masculinity, strong uncertainty Avoidance, result oriented, Job oriented, Profesional, open system, loose control, Pragmatic (Hofstefle, 2005).</i>
4	<i>Market Culture (Cameron and Quinn, 2006); External environment, keija hard, control, result-oriented keija and measurability, cherish competition, and ambitious in terms of market penetration.</i>	<i>Touch-Guy/Macho dan Work Hard Play Hard (Deal dan Kennedy,1982); Adaptibilty Culture (Denis, 1990). low power distance, Individualism, strong uncertainty Avoidance, masculinity, result oriented, job oriented, profesional, open system, tight control (Hofstede, 2005).</i>

Source: Calculated based on theoretical study used sources

Based on the above opinions of the organizational culture in this study is a system of meaning and a shared belief in a hierarchical-humanistic adopted by the members of the organization that became the character of an organization. Hierarchical organization-humanistic culture is a blend of approaches with Clan Hierarchy Culture Culture developed by Cameron and Quinn and Hofstede. Thus, the organizational culture-humanistic hierarchy consists of two dimensions, namely: (1) the cultural hierarchy, and (2) humanistic culture. Cultural hierarchy is looking concept of organizational culture has fantangan modern organizational characteristics in terms of orientation to quality, customer needs and satisfaction, as well as products increasingly fierce competition. The indicators of the cultural dimension hierarchy is: (1) specialty, (2) coordination, (3) procedural, (4) stability, and 5) efficiency. While the humanistic culture is an approach rooted in the national culture of Indonesia that emphasizes intimacy and emotional ties to share, so that the organization is more like a big family rather than an economic entity. Indicators of humanistic cultural dimensions are: (1) collectivity, (2) participation, (3) consensus, (4) flexibility, and (5) loyalty. Gibson, Ivancevich, and Donnelly (in Daft, 2008) said the performance was "the desire of j any employee's behavior performance Sedangkan Smith (in Sedarmayati, 2001: 50): (" Perf ormance is the drive output from the processes of human or otherwise. "Definition this limits the notion of work as a result or output of a human or other processes. in terms of a more ornamental Rival &Sagala (2011.549) said the performance is noticeable ying behavior displayed by everyone as the resulting performance by employees in accordance with its role within the company. this is in line with the views Yuniarsih (2009.161) which says the performance is a real achievement that is displayed after the relevant person's duties and role in the organization. Based on the opinions of the above, the performance of employees in penelltian is) the size of the result of the implementation of a specific job function or activity of employees during the period / specified time. The dimensions kineija employees, as referring to Bernardin and Russel I (2006: 383) are: (1) quality; (2) quantity; (3) timeliness; (4) cost effectiveness; (5) The need for f supervision; and (6) interpersonal Impact. Quality dimensions, namely pekeijaan quality standards prescribed by the first, measured by indicators: (1) activity pekeijaan according to procedure and (2) 1 pekeijaan appropriate quality standards. Dimensions quantity, ie pekeijaan generated on target, measured by indicators: (1) the achievement of activity and (2) the achievement of targets pekeijaan. Dimensions timeliness, which is the time pekeijaan completed and does not inhibit other pekeijaan, measured by indicators: (1) the work on time and (2) supporting the completion of other job. Dimensions of cost effectiveness, which is using its resources effectively, measured by indicators: (1) use the budget effectively and (2) the use of facilities keija effectively. Dimensions need for supervision, namely the ability to complete the job without close supervision, discipline, and passion keija high, measured by indicators: (1) work without strict supervision; (2) discipline; and (3) the spirit of keija. Impact interpersonal dimension, namely the ability collaborate with superiors, peers sekeija, subordinates and can organize collaborate well, measured by indicators: (1) the ability to collaborate with superiors; (2) the ability to collaborate with co-workers; and (3) the ability to organize well job

#### Hypothesis

Ha1 : Leadership Style partial effect toward Organizational Commitment

Ha2 : Organizational Culture effect toward Organizational Commitment

Ha3 : Leadership Style and Organizational Culture toward Organizational Commitment

### **III. RESEARCH METHODS**

The method used in this research is quantitative research. A quantitative approach is useful to treat specifically the meaning and use of variables (Creswell, 2005). Variables in quantitative research is a special phenomenon that can be observed or measured in two or more categories (Kerlinger in Creswell, 2005). Furthermore, Creswell (2005) explains that the variables in a quantitative approach can be distinguished by two characteristics, namely the temporal sequence and measurement. Cross-sectional study which is a type of research that is collecting information questionnaires only one time in a particular time to a sample (Malhotra, 2007). Because the data collected simultaneously at a given moment and only once by distributing questionnaires to the respondents. Survey method is collecting information based on a questionnaire respondents (Malhotra, 2007), respondents were asked questions related to leadership styles, organizational culture, organizational commitment. Thus, this study belong to the survey method in which researchers used a questionnaire to obtain data on the subject to the researchers in a relatively short period of time.

#### **Samples**

The sample is part of the number and characteristics possessed by the population. When large populations and researchers did not learn all that there is in the population (limited funds, manpower and time) then the researcher can use diambii sample from that population (Sugiyono, 2009: 61). The sampling technique (sampling) used in this study is a two-stage random sampling (random sampling two stages). In the first stage, the sample company is determined by the method of random sampling (simple random sampling) of some universities in south sumatera, Palembang.

**Test Reliability**

Azwar (2007: 4) says that the reliability merupakan penejemahan and reliability word which means reliability, dependability, consistency and so on. The measurement results can be trusted when it is in the implementation of measurements several times against the same subject obtained relatively similar results, as long as the measured aspect does not change. Instrument reliability is a reliable measurement results. Instrument reliability is required to get the data in accordance with the purpose of measurement. To achieve this, reliability test using Cronbach's alpha was measured by Cronbach's alpha scale of 0 to 1.

**Table 2** Realibilitas Instrument Testing Results on Research Variables

No.	Variabel	Nilai Cronbach's Alpha	Keputusan
1	Leadership style	.764	Reliabel
2	Organizational culture	.770	Reliabel
3	Employee commitment	.836	Reliabel

Source: Preliminary Test Reliability Research Instruments, SPSS

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Suitability Index Model**

The results of data analysis using methods Strucutural Equation Model (SEM) using application software LISREL 8.7 then obtained a summary index of the suitability of the model as follows

**Table 3** Suitability Index Model

Indikator GOF Ukuran yang diharapkan		Hasil Estimasi	Kesimpulan
<b>Ukuran Absolut</b>			
GFI	GFI > 0.90	0.69	Marginal Fit
RMSEA	RMSEA < 0.08	0.07	Good Fit
<b>Ukuran Incremental Fit</b>			
NNFI	NNFI > 0.90	0.96	Good Fit
NFI	NFI > 0.90	0.94	Good Fit
AGFI	AGFI > 0.90	0.96	Good Fit
RFI	RFI > 0.90	0.94	Good Fit
IFI	IFI > 0.90	0.96	Good Fit
CFI	CFI > 0.90	0.96	Good Fit

Source: Data Processing with LISREL 8.7

Based on Table 5.1 above, eight (8) the size of the suitability of the model obtained for RMSEA, NNFI, NFI, AGFI, RFI, IFI, CFI has an index of fitness model good (Good Fit), there is one index kesuaian models that GFI has an index kesuaian models are marginal (marginal Fit). According Hair et.all (2006.623) marginafit is a fitness model under conditions index criteria of absolute fit, as well as incremental fit but still can be used in further analysis, because it is close to the index criteria for a good fit. Thus, the suitability index based on the calculation model used in the study that further analysis can be done.

**V. DISCUSSION**

Influence of Leadership Style on Organizational Commitment in Partial, Based on the results of testing the hypothesis can be confirmed that there is a leadership style influence on organizational commitment, it can be seen from the results of statistical tests DIMA  $t_{wr} > W$  ( $6:57 > 1.96$ ). The next big unknown contribution of leadership style on employee commitment, based on the path coefficient is 0.38. Thus, the contribution of the effect is  $0:38 \times 0:38 \times 100 = 14:44\%$ . Influence of Organizational Culture Organizational Commitment Against Partial, Based on the results of testing the hypothesis in mind that organizational culture affects terhadap-organizational commitment, which is known to result  $t_{th} > t_{tab}$  ( $2:78 > 1.96$ ). Furthermore, the contribution is the influence of organizational culture on organizational commitment based on the results of the path coefficient  $0:19 \times 0:19 \times 100 = 3.61\%$ . Influence of Leadership Style and Organization Culture Collaborative against Organizational Commitment. Based on the test results showed that the variables hipotiesis leadership styles, organizational communication and organizational culture influence jointly on organizational commitment. Results  $t_{th} > t_{tab}$  ( $101\ 579 > 2.63$ ). Furthermore, known  $R^2 = 0.67$ , these results suggest that the effect together is 67%. Thus, there are 37% of other factors that influence the organizational commitment.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

Based on the results of hypothesis testing and discussion that has been done in the previous chapter. This, the study concluded the following:

1. Leadership style affect partially positive and significant organizational commitment within the company are in the South Sumatera, Palembang. It was found that the dimensions of leadership styles greater participation contributions influence of the dimensions of transformational leadership style. Thus, it is



certain that if the repairs on the style of leadership, especially leadership style will happened increase participation in organizational commitment.

2. Communication influential organizations and significant posotif partially on organizational commitment within the company are in the South Sumatera, Palembang. Where it was found that the dimensions of subordinate-supervisor communication and horizontal communication contributes a greater influence when dbandingkan with superior subordinate communication. This shows that when done improvements in organizational communication especially subordinate-supervisor communication that takes place, there will be also an increase in the organization's commitment.
3. Organizational culture positive and significant effect partially on organizational commitment within the company are in the South Sumatera, Palembang. It was found that the dimensions of organizational culture influence of humanistic greater contribution of the cultural elements of the hierarchy. Thus, these results suggest that if you do repairs on organizational culture especially humanistic dimension will result in positive changes in employee commitment.
4. The style of leadership, organizational communication and organizational culture together positive and significant impact on organizational commitment within the company are in the South Sumatera, Palembang. It was found that the amount of influence of each variabe! Unknown leadership style variable regression coefficient 0:38, 0:48 variables organizational communication, and organizational culture variables 0:19. With the results of  $R^2 = 0.77$ . This means that 77% of organizational commitment is influenced by the style of leadership, organizational communication and organizational culture. The variables provide kontsribusi influence on organizational commitment is a communications organization, followed by leadership style and organizational culture. This suggests that if the repair is done jointly on leadership styles, organizational communication and organizational culture will lead to changes in organizational commitment.

### **Managerial implications**

Based on the findings and conclusions of the research, for the benefit of the managerial implications that need to be carried out by universities that are in south sumatera include the following:

1. In establishing the organizational commitment of the three variables that affect the style of leadership and organizational culture of the variables that most influence on organizational commitment is organizational communication, particularly communication that is subordinate superiors largest dimension in the formation of organizational communication variables. Therefore, operationally improved communications subordinate supervisor is still needed, especially based on indicators relating to the submission of reports of problems in the execution of the task (0.82), the delivery of advice from subordinates to superiors (0.72), submission of reports on the implementation task (0.69) and delivery of private complaint and job subordinate supervisor (0.83).
2. In an effort to improve employee kineija, of the four variables that affect the style of leadership, organizational communication, organizational culture and organizational commitment, the most decisive variable is the commitment of the organization, especially the continuous commitment which is the largest dimension in the formation of organizational commitment. On the operational level of commitment shown by indicators that need to be considered is to encourage employees to have a mission to advance the organization (0.75), willingness to try my best (0.74), and the willingness to sacrifice in the achievement of organizational goals (0.84). On the other hand in the case of employees performance considering the dimensions of the largest is the need for supervision (0.90). Premises such monitoring is also needed for the employee's performance can be further increased.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Aamodt, G. Michael. 2006. Applied Industrial/Organizational Psychology, Pitsburg USA Pitsburg University Press
- [2]. Achmad, Muhamad. 2005. Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Terhadap Pelaksanaan Promosi Pegawai dan Implikasinya Terhadap Motivasi Kerja di PT Telekomunikasi Indonesia (Persero) Kandelat Bandung, Journal of Post Graduate Volume XIMaret 2005, Jakarta, Program Pasca Sarjana Universitas Persada Indonesia Y.A.T.
- [3]. Adler & Rodman, George. 2008. Understanding Human Communication. Englewood Cliffts; Prentice Hall.
- [4]. Altinoz, Mehmet. 2009. An Overall Approach to The Communication of Organization in Conventiional. and Virtual Office. Proceeding of The World Academic Science, Engineering ana Technology. Vol.31.
- [5]. Al-Rasyid. 2007. Teknik Penarikan Sampel dan Penyusunan Skala. Bandung, Program Pasca Sarjana Universitas Padjajaran.
- [6]. Anoraga, Panji. 2009. Psikologi Kerja, Jakarta, PT Rineka Cipta.
- [7]. Arep, Ishak dan Tanjung, Hendri. 2003. Manajemen Motivasi. Jakarta, Grasindo.
- [8]. Ami, Muhammad. 2012. Komunikasi Organisasi. Jakarta, Bumi Aksara.
- [9]. Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2001. Prosedur Penelitian, Suatu Pendekatan Praktek, Jakarta, Rineka Cipta.
- [10]. Atmodiwirio, Subagio. 2002. Manajemen Pelatihan, Jakarta, PT Ardadizya Jaya.
- [11]. Azwar, S. 2007. Sikap Manusia dan Pengukurannya, Edisi 2, Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- [12]. Badan Pusat Statistik Kabupaten Tangerang. 2013. Kabupaten Tangerang Dtilam Angka. Pemerintah Daerah Kabupaten Tangerang.
- [13]. Badan Standarisasi Nasional. 2013. Sistem Manajemen Mutu — Persyaratan, Jakarta, Penerbit BSN.

- [14]. Bass, B.M. & Riggio, Ronald E. 2006. Transformational Leadership. New Jersey; Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. New York: Simmon and Schuster.
- [15]. Bell, Clement & Mjoli, Themba. 2014. The Effect of Participative leadership on Organizational Commitment: Comparing its effects on two gender group among bank clerks. African Journal Business Management Vol. 8 (12), June 2014.
- [16]. Bernardin, H. John & Russell, Joice E. A. 2006. Human Resource Management, International Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- [17]. Bramham, John, 2000. Human Resource Planning, Kuala Lumpur, Golden Book Center SDN. BHD.
- [18]. Burack, E., Mathys N. 1987. Human Resources Planning. 2nd Edition, Highland Park, IL Brace-Park Press.
- [19]. Cristopher, William F, Thor, Carl G, 2001, Mutu dan Produktivitas Berkelas Dunia, Lima Belas Strategi untuk Memperbaiki Kinerja, Jakarta, PT Prenhallindo.
- [20]. Colquitt, Jason A., Lepine, Jeffery A., Wesson, Michael J., 2009. Organizational Behavior, McGraw- Hill.
- [21]. Cohen, William, 2011. Setiap Pemimpin Hants Baca Buku ini, Jakarta, Tangga Pustaka.
- [22]. Daft, Richard. L. 2008. Management — Manajemen. Edisi 6, Buku 1, Jakarta, Salemba Empat.
- [23]. Darlius, 2009. Pengaruh Kepemimpinan dan Kompetensi Terhadap Motivasi Kerja dan Implikasinya Terhadap Kinerja Pegawai Kantor Pelayanan Pajak Pratama Di Lingkungan Kantor Wilayah DIP Jakarta Pusat, Jakarta, Universitas Persada Indonesia "YAI".
- [24]. Davis, Keith & Newstrom. 2005. Human Behavior at Work; Organizational Behavior. Int.Eds, Singapore, Me Graw Hill Book Company.
- [25]. Dimmock, Clive and Walker, Allan. 2008. Educational Leadership, Culture and Diversity, Sage Publishing
- [26]. Djamaluddin, Arief. 2003. Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia, Dalam Persaingan Global, Jakarta, LPBM.
- [27]. Effendy, Onong. 2005. Ilmu Komunikasi. Teori dan Praktek. Bandung, Remaja Rosdaleny.
- [28]. Ekaterini, Gatanaou. 2010. The Impact of Leadership Styles on Four Variables of Executives. International Journal of Business and Management Vol.5 No.6. June 2010.
- [29]. Engin, Elif & Burcu Eker Akgoz. 2013. The Effect of Communication Satisfaction on Organization Commitment. British Journal of Arts and Social Sciences Vol. 14 No. 11.2013.
- [30]. Emawan, Emi. 2011. Budaya Organisasi dalam Perspektif Ekonomi dan Bisnis. Bandung, Penerbit Alfabeta.
- [31]. Fathoni, Abdullrahmat. 2006. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, Jakarta, PT Rineka Cipta.
- [32]. Fatokun, Jonathan O. 2010. The Influence of Leadership Style on the Performance of Subordinates in Nigerian Libraries. Library Philosophy and Practice. 9-3-2010.
- [33]. Faure, Munro Lesley & Malcom. 2005. Implementing Total Quality Management. Menerapkan Manajemen Mutu Terpadu. Jakarta, Penerbit PT. Elex Media Komputindo.
- [34]. Goldhaber, GM. 2013. Organization Communication. IOWA. USA, NT. Brown Publisher.
- [35]. Gomes. 2007. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, Yogyakarta, Penerbit Andi.
- [36]. Goodman, Stephen H., Fandt, Patricia M., Michlitsch, Joseph F., Lewis Pamela S. 2007. Management' .
- [37]. Challengers For Tomorrow's Leaders. Thomson.
- [38]. Hair, J.F. Anderson, R.E, Tatham, R.L. and Black, W.C. 2006. Multivariate Data Analysis, 5th Edition, Upper Saddle River, USA, Prentice Hall
- [39]. Handoko, T. Hani. Manajemen, 2000, Edisi II, Yogyakarta: Yogyakarta, BPFE.
- [40]. Hariandja, Marihot Tua Efendi. 2005. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, Jakarta, Grasindo.
- [41]. Haryono, Siswoyo. 2005. Hubungan Antara Gaya Kepemimpinan dan Komunikasi Interpersonal Dengan Kinerja Dosen STIE PBM Jakarta. Jumal. Program Pascasajjana Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. Hamka.
- [42]. Haryono, Siswoyo 2005, Manajemen Produksi dan Operasi, Jakarta, IBM Global.
- [43]. Haryono, Siswoyo 2007, Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis, Teori dan Aplikasi, Palembang, Badan Penerbit MMUTP.
- [44]. Haryono, Siswoyo. 2010. Intisari Teori Kepemimpinan, Jakarta, PT. Intermedia Personalia Utama.
- [45]. Henman, Linda D. 2012. Leadership: Theories and Controversies, www. Henman Performance.
- [46]. Hersey, Paul. 2000. Kunci Sukses Pemimpin Situasional, teijemahaj Dwi Astuti, Jakarta, Delapratasa
- [47]. Milkovich, GT & Boudreau, JW. 2002. Human Resource Management. Thirteenth Edition, Irwin Book Team.
- [48]. Miriam, Rani, 2009. Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan dan Budaya Organisasi terhadap Kinerja Kary>awan Melalui Kepuasan Ketja Karyawan Studi Kasus Pada Kantor Pusat PT. Asuransi Jasa Indonesia (Persero), Jumal, program Pascasajjana, Semarang, UNDIP.
- [49]. Moeljono Djokosantoso, 2008. More About Beyond Leadership, Jakarta, PT. Elex Media Komputindo.
- [50]. Mondy, R. Wayne, 2008. Manajemen Sumber Dccya Manusia, Jilid X, Jakarta, Erlangga.
- [51]. Mulyana, Deddy. 2006. Pengantar Ilmu Komunikasi. Bandung PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [52]. Munandar, A.S. 2006. Psikologi Industri dan Organisasi. Depok, UI Press.
- [53]. Nasution, M.N. 2010. Manajemen Mutu Terpadu (Total Quality Management), Jakarta, PT. Ghalia Indonesia.
- [54]. Nawawi, Hadari. 2006. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Yogyakarta, UGM Press.
- [55]. Nawawi, Hadari, 2004. Kepemimpinan Yang Efektif, Yogyakarta, Gajah Mada University Press.
- [56]. Nawawi, Hadari. 2008. MSDMuntukBisnis yang Kompetitif, Jogjakarta. Gadjahmada University Press.
- [57]. Ndraha, Taliziduhu, 2005. Teori Budaya Organisasi, Jakarta, Rineka Cipta.
- [58]. Ndraha, Taliziduhu, 2002. Pengantar Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia, Jakarta, Rineka Cipta.
- [59]. Ndraha, Taliziduhu, 2005. Teori Budaya Organisasi, Jakarta, Rineka Cipta.
- [60]. Notoatmodjo, Sukidjo, 2003. Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia, Jakarta, Rineka Cipta.
- [61]. Nurlita, Ita. 2012. Investigation of Organizational Communication Climate At Bhayangkara Surabaya University Using Communication Climate Inventory (CCI) Method. Faculty of Social Sciences, Bhayangkara Surabaya University, Indonesia, www. Journal. Savap.org.pk.259. ISSN-L: 2223-9553, ISSN:2223-9944 Vol. 3. No.2 Sept 2012. ,
- [62]. Nurmi, Raimo W., Darling, John R, 2000, International Management Leadership, Jaico Publishing House.