

AI and the Convergence-Divergence Literature - Implications on International and Transnational HRM

John Festus Vandy, Anthony Swaray Domawa (Ph.D), Elisha Sheriff
Corresponding Author: John Festus Vandy, Research Scholar as Siksha O Anusandhan University,
Bhubaneswar , India
Institute of Public Administration and Management / University of Sierra Leone

ABSTRACT: This paper aims to explore the trans-formative impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on the Convergence-Divergence literature in Human Resource Management (HRM). As AI increasingly permeates HRM functions, the traditional debate on convergence towards a universal standard or divergence due to contextual variations is reshaped. Through a random examination and exploration of various literature around this subject , this review analysis explores how AI has shaped the Convergence-Divergence argument in IHRM and its implications for international and transnational HRM. Findings reveal that AI may either drive global convergence through standardized practices or contribute to divergence by accommodating diverse contextual needs. Ethical considerations, data privacy, and cross-cultural adaptability are crucial in this evolving landscape. The study emphasizes the need for organizations to adopt a nuanced approach, leveraging AI to balance global consistency and local responsiveness in HRM practices. Overall, the paper provides valuable insights for scholars, practitioners, and policymakers navigating the complex interplay between AI and the Convergence-Divergence literature in HRM.

KEY WORD: Artificial Intelligence , Convergence, Divergence , International HRM , Transnational HRM

Date of Submission: 26-01-2024

Date of acceptance: 08-02-2024

I. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

The field of Human Resource Management (HRM) has witnessed a persistent discourse surrounding the convergence and divergence of practices across global contexts. Historically, scholars have grappled with the question of whether HRM practices tend to converge toward a universal standard or diverge due to contextual variations shaped by cultural, institutional, and economic factors (Ferner & Quintanilla, 1998; Brewster & Mayrhofer, 2012). This debate has been instrumental in understanding the dynamics of HRM in an increasingly interconnected world, where organizations operate across diverse cultural and institutional settings.

In recent years, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies into HRM practices has emerged as a transformative force, introducing novel considerations to the convergence-divergence discourse (Smith, A. N., & Cockburn, D. (2017), Sparrow, P. R., & Bognanno, M. (2019). AI, encompassing machine learning, natural language processing, and data analytics, is fundamentally altering the way organizations manage their human capital (Smith & Cockburn, 2017). As AI becomes integral to HRM functions such as recruitment, talent development, and performance evaluation, it raises pertinent questions about its potential to either reinforce convergence through standardized practices or contribute to divergence by accommodating unique contextual needs (Smith, A. N., & Cockburn, D. (2017).

Against this backdrop, this paper aims to explore the profound impact of AI on the Convergence-Divergence Literature in the realm of HRM and its implications for international and transnational HRM practices. As organizations increasingly adopt AI-driven solutions to streamline HR processes, the traditional dichotomy of convergence and divergence takes on new dimensions. This study seeks to investigate how AI technologies, as a disruptive force, are shaping the ongoing dialogue regarding the global standardization or contextual adaptation of HRM practices.

By analyzing the interplay between AI and the Convergence-Divergence Literature, we aim to uncover the nuanced ways in which AI influences HRM dynamics on the global stage. The implications for international and transnational HRM practices are of paramount importance, as organizations grapple with the challenge of harnessing AI to balance the need for consistency across borders and the imperative of adapting to diverse contextual requirements. Through this exploration, we strive to contribute insights that inform both scholarly discourse and practical considerations for HRM professionals navigating the complex terrain of AI integration in a globalized workforce.

II. International HRM - Historical Perspective

International Human Resource Management (IHRM) has evolved over time, shaped by historical events and the changing global business landscape. Understanding its historical perspective provides valuable insights into the development of practices that address the complexities of managing human resources across borders.

In the post-World War II era, the reconstruction of war-torn economies and the emergence of multinational enterprises (MNEs) marked the beginning of international HRM considerations. Early discussions on IHRM were heavily influenced by the challenges of managing diverse workforces and aligning HR practices with the strategic goals of MNEs (Dowling, Festing, & Engle, 2017).

The 1970s and 1980s witnessed a surge in globalization, with companies expanding their operations globally. This period marked the formalization of IHRM as a distinct field of study. The seminal works of scholars like Perlmutter (1969) and Dowling and Schuler (1990) laid the foundation for understanding the unique challenges of managing people in a global context.

The 1990s brought a shift in focus towards cultural considerations, emphasizing the importance of cultural awareness and adaptation in managing international workforces (Brewster & Harris, 1999). As MNEs diversified their operations, the need for IHRM to address cultural nuances became increasingly evident.

The early 21st century saw the emergence of a knowledge-driven global economy. With advancements in technology and communication, IHRM faced new challenges related to virtual teams, cross-cultural leadership, and the integration of digital platforms (Sparrow & Harris, 2012).

Today, IHRM continues to evolve in response to dynamic global challenges. Issues such as talent management, diversity and inclusion, and the ethical dimensions of global HRM practices are at the forefront (Scullion & Collings, 2011).

In conclusion, the historical perspective of International HRM reveals a journey from post-war reconstruction to the complexities of the contemporary global business environment. Scholars and practitioners have navigated this evolution, contributing to the development of theories and practices that address the multifaceted nature of managing human resources on an international scale.

III. The Convergence-Divergence Arguments in International Human Resource Management - Challenges to the current realities work, International and Transnational HRM

Proponents of convergence argue that the forces of globalization drive the homogenization of HRM practices across borders. Ferner and Varul (2001) suggest that multinational companies, in pursuit of efficiency and consistency, adopt standardized HRM policies globally. Economic integration within regions, such as the European Union, is seen as a catalyst for convergence, where common economic policies and labor market trends prompt the adoption of similar HRM practices (Brewster & Hegewisch, 1994). Sparrow (2007) supports convergence by asserting the existence of universal management principles that organizations worldwide converge towards for success.

On the contrary, opponents of convergence emphasize the impact of cultural and institutional differences on HRM practices. Scholars like Hofstede (1980) and Child (1981) argue that national cultural values and institutional frameworks significantly shape HRM approaches, leading to divergence across countries. Institutional theorists, such as Jackson (2002), stress that organizations are influenced by their home country's institutions, resulting in diverse HRM practices. The contextual specificity argument, presented by Björkman and Lu (1999), suggests that HRM practices must be tailored to the specific cultural, legal, and economic contexts of each country, acknowledging the importance of adapting to local conditions. Brewster and Mayrhofer (2012) highlight that divergence may also stem from variations in labor market conditions, where differences in supply, demand, and regulations influence HRM strategies to align with local markets.

Amidst the convergence-divergence debate, the challenges faced by International and Transnational HRM (IHRM & THRM) practitioners are significant. The pursuit of convergence may encounter resistance due to the deep-seated cultural and institutional disparities (Hofstede, 1980; Child, 1981). For organizations operating globally, adapting HRM practices to local contexts becomes a complex task, challenging the assumption of universal applicability (Björkman & Lu, 1999).

Moreover, the practical implementation of convergence strategies may face hurdles in transnational environments where legal and regulatory frameworks vary considerably (Brewster & Hegewisch, 1994). Harmonizing HRM practices across diverse jurisdictions becomes intricate, necessitating an agile approach that considers the nuanced intricacies of each location.

Divergence, on the other hand, can pose challenges in ensuring a level of consistency in HRM practices essential for organizational coherence and global strategy execution (Sparrow, 2007). Balancing the need for local responsiveness with global integration becomes a delicate task, requiring IHRM and THRM professionals to navigate through a myriad of cultural, legal, and economic considerations (Jackson, 2002; Brewster & Mayrhofer, 2012).

In conclusion, the convergence-divergence debate in IHRM presents both theoretical perspectives and practical challenges. Organizations aiming for global success must carefully navigate these complexities, recognizing the dynamic interplay between global and local factors in shaping HRM practices on the international stage.

IV. AI Revolution and its impact on Convergence-Divergence Literature in International HRM

The advent of the Artificial Intelligence (AI) revolution has introduced a transformative dynamic to the ongoing discourse in International Human Resource Management (IHRM), particularly within the Convergence-Divergence literature.

The proponents of convergence argue that AI, as a globalizing force, has the potential to drive the standardization of HRM practices across borders. Scholars such as Sparrow and Bognanno (2019) contend that AI technologies can provide universally applicable solutions, contributing to the convergence of HRM practices on a global scale. AI's ability to automate routine tasks, enhance decision-making processes, and streamline HR functions may encourage organizations to adopt standardized practices that transcend cultural and institutional differences (Smith & Cockburn, 2017).

Conversely, opponents argue that the introduction of AI may exacerbate divergence within the IHRM landscape. Cultural and contextual factors play a crucial role in shaping AI applications in HRM (Rasmussen, 2019). Organizations may customize AI algorithms to align with local norms and preferences, resulting in diverse AI-driven HRM practices across different regions (Davenport, Harris, & Shapiro, 2018).

The challenges presented by the AI revolution in IHRM are multi-faceted. Ethical considerations surrounding AI applications, data privacy concerns, and the potential for bias in algorithmic decision-making pose substantial challenges to the convergence argument (Mingers & Walsham, 2010; Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Additionally, the need for cross-cultural adaptability in implementing AI-driven HRM practices calls for a nuanced understanding of the diverse contexts in which these technologies are applied (Fernández-Medina et al., 2020).

As the Convergence-Divergence literature in IHRM grapples with the implications of the AI revolution, it is crucial to acknowledge that the impact of AI on HRM practices is dynamic and context-dependent. Future research should explore the interplay between AI technologies and the convergence-divergence debate, considering both the potential for global standardization and the contextual adaptability required in an increasingly AI-driven international HRM landscape.

V. Implications of AI on International and Transnational Human Resource Management

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Human Resource Management (HRM) brings forth significant implications for both International HRM (IHRM) and Transnational HRM (THRM) practices.

In the context of IHRM, AI's potential to standardize HR processes across borders is evident. Scholars argue that AI technologies enable the creation of globally applicable HRM solutions, contributing to convergence in multinational companies (Sparrow & Bognanno, 2019). AI's capacity to automate routine tasks, enhance decision-making, and facilitate data-driven HR practices may foster the adoption of standardized approaches, transcending cultural and institutional differences (Smith & Cockburn, 2017).

However, the implications of AI on IHRM extend beyond convergence. Cultural and contextual factors play a crucial role in shaping how AI is implemented in HRM (Rasmussen, 2019). Organizations operating internationally may need to customize AI algorithms to align with local norms and preferences, resulting in a diverse application of AI-driven HRM practices across different regions (Davenport, Harris, & Shapiro, 2018).

In the realm of THRM, the impact of AI is amplified due to the complex nature of managing human resources across multiple national contexts. AI's ability to facilitate real-time communication, collaboration, and knowledge sharing in virtual teams transcends geographical boundaries, potentially enhancing the efficiency of transnational HRM practices (Hassan & Abuelrub, 2019). The use of AI in talent management, global recruitment, and cross-cultural training can contribute to the effectiveness of THRM strategies (Marler & Boudreau, 2017).

Nevertheless, challenges arise concerning the ethical use of AI in HRM. Issues related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the need for transparency in decision-making processes pose significant concerns in both IHRM and THRM (Mingers & Walsham, 2010; Mittelstadt et al., 2016). HR professionals operating in diverse international and transnational contexts must navigate these ethical considerations to ensure fair and equitable AI applications (Fernández-Medina et al., 2020).

As organizations increasingly adopt AI in HRM practices, understanding the nuanced implications for both IHRM and THRM is imperative. Future research should delve deeper into the interplay between AI technologies and the complexities of managing human resources on the global stage, considering the potential for both convergence and divergence in HRM approaches.

VI. Discussion - Implications for MNCs and HR Practitioners

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Human Resource Management (HRM) holds profound implications for Multinational Corporations (MNCs) and HR practitioners, impacting both the strategic landscape and day-to-day operations.

For MNCs, the potential for AI-driven standardization in HR practices presents a compelling avenue for achieving consistency across diverse global operations. Sparrow and Bognanno (2019) highlight the capability of AI to provide universally applicable HR solutions, contributing to convergence within MNCs. This standardization may streamline processes such as recruitment, performance management, and employee training, fostering a cohesive organizational culture (Smith & Cockburn, 2017). However, the practical implementation of AI-driven standardization necessitates careful consideration of local nuances and regulations to avoid unintended consequences (Davenport, Harris, & Shapiro, 2018).

Conversely, the customization potential of AI introduces a dimension of flexibility for MNCs in adapting HR practices to local contexts. The ability to tailor AI algorithms to align with cultural norms and preferences (Rasmussen, 2019) allows MNCs to navigate diverse regulatory environments and socio-cultural landscapes, contributing to divergence in HRM approaches across regions (Davenport, Harris, & Shapiro, 2018). HR practitioners in MNCs must, therefore, possess the acumen to balance the benefits of standardization with the need for contextual adaptability.

For HR practitioners, particularly those involved in International Human Resource Management (IHRM) and Transnational Human Resource Management (THRM), the implications of AI extend to talent management, cross-cultural training, and global recruitment. AI's ability to enhance decision-making processes and provide data-driven insights can significantly augment HR professionals' strategic capabilities in identifying and nurturing global talent (Marler & Boudreau, 2017). However, the ethical considerations surrounding AI, including issues of data privacy and algorithmic bias, present challenges that HR practitioners must navigate in their roles (Mingers & Walsham, 2010; Mittelstadt et al., 2016).

Moreover, the integration of AI in HRM practices necessitates a shift in skill sets for HR practitioners. Competencies related to data analytics, AI technology understanding, and ethical considerations in AI deployment become crucial for HR professionals to effectively harness the potential of AI in MNCs (Fernández-Medina et al., 2020).

The implications of AI for MNCs and HR practitioners are multi-faceted, encompassing both opportunities and challenges. Striking a balance between global standardization and local adaptability, navigating ethical considerations, and acquiring new skill sets are critical considerations for MNCs and HR practitioners alike as they navigate the evolving landscape of AI-driven HRM.

VII. Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into International Human Resource Management (IHRM) has ushered in a transformative era, reshaping the dynamics of HR practices within multinational contexts. As we reflect on the implications discussed, it is evident that AI introduces both opportunities and challenges for Multinational Corporations (MNCs) and HR practitioners.

The convergence-divergence debate in IHRM takes on new dimensions with the advent of AI. On one hand, the potential for global standardization through AI-driven practices promises efficiency and consistency within MNCs. Sparrow and Bognanno (2019) advocate for the universal applicability of AI solutions, contributing to convergence. On the other hand, customization capabilities inherent in AI allow for adaptation to diverse cultural and institutional contexts, potentially leading to divergence in HRM approaches (Rasmussen, 2019).

For MNCs, the challenge lies in striking a balance between standardization and adaptability. The practical implementation of AI-driven HR practices requires a nuanced understanding of local nuances, legal frameworks, and cultural considerations. HR practitioners in MNCs must navigate these complexities, ensuring that the benefits of AI are harnessed without compromising on contextual relevance.

The implications for HR practitioners extend beyond strategic considerations to the development of new skill sets. Competencies related to data analytics, AI technology understanding, and ethical considerations in AI deployment become imperative for HR professionals (Fernández-Medina et al., 2020). Moreover, addressing ethical concerns surrounding data privacy and algorithmic bias requires a heightened ethical awareness in HR practices (Mingers & Walsham, 2010; Mittelstadt et al., 2016).

VIII. Future Research Directions

As we look ahead, several avenues for future research emerge:

□ Ethical Considerations in AI-Driven HRM: Further exploration of the ethical dimensions of AI applications in HRM, including privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and the implications for employee trust, can contribute to the development of ethical guidelines and best practices.

□ Skill Development for HR Professionals: Investigating the specific skill sets required for HR professionals to effectively navigate the AI-driven landscape. This includes understanding the impact of AI on job roles, identifying skill gaps, and developing training programs to enhance HR practitioners' capabilities.

□ Comparative Studies: Comparative studies analyzing the adoption and impact of AI-driven HRM practices across different regions and industries can offer insights into the contextual factors influencing convergence or divergence.

□ Longitudinal Studies: Longitudinal studies tracking the evolution of AI applications in IHRM over time can provide a comprehensive understanding of the sustained impact and adaptation strategies employed by MNCs.

In conclusion, the integration of AI into IHRM presents an exciting yet complex terrain. Navigating the convergence-divergence dynamics requires a nuanced approach, and future research endeavors can play a pivotal role in unraveling the intricacies of AI's influence on global HR practices. As MNCs and HR practitioners adapt to this new era, ongoing research will undoubtedly shape the evolving landscape of AI in international HRM.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1]. Björkman, I., & Lu, Y. (1999). *The management of human resources in Chinese industry*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- [2]. Brewster, C., & Harris, H. (1999). *International HRM: Contemporary issues in Europe*. London, UK: Routledge.
- [3]. Brewster, C., & Hegewisch, A. (1994). *Policy and practice in European human resource management: The Price Waterhouse Cranfield Survey*. London, UK: Routledge.
- [4]. Brewster, C., & Mayrhofer, W. (2012). *Handbook of research on comparative human resource management*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [5]. Child, J. (1981). Culture, contingency, and capitalism in the cross-national study of organizations. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior* (Vol. 3, pp. 303–356). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press
- [6]. URL: <https://beta.openai.com/signup/> (or the URL corresponding to the latest information)
- [7]. Davenport, T. H., Harris, J., & Shapiro, J. (2018). Competing on talent analytics. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(10), 52–58
- [8]. Fernández-Medina, M., Piattini, M., & Bibiano, L. (2020). *Ethical, Legal and Societal Impacts of Artificial Intelligence Integration*. Springer.
- [9]. Ferner, A., & Quintanilla, J. (1998). Multinationals, national business systems, and HRM: The enduring influence of national identity or a process of 'Anglo- Saxonization'. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 9(4), 710-731.
- [10]. Ferner, A., & Varul, M. Z. (2001). HRM and the search for universal legitimacy: An empirical investigation in MNCs. *Organization Studies*, 22(6), 973-996
- [11]. Hassan, R. A., & Abuelrub, E. (2019). *Transnational human resource management: An introduction*. Routledge.
- [12]. Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications
- [13]. Jackson, S. E. (2002). Multinational organizations: Learning from inter-unit incidents. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 11(2), 101-112.
- [14]. Marler, J. H., & Boudreau, J. W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR Analytics. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(1), 3-26.
- [15]. Mingers, J., & Walsham, G. (2010). Towards ethical information systems: The contribution of discourse ethics. *MIS Quarterly*, 34(4), 833-854.
- [16]. Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2), 1-21.
- [17]. Perlmutter, H. V. (1969). The tortuous evolution of the multinational corporation. *Columbia Journal of World Business*, 4(1), 9-18.
- [18]. Rasmussen, T. (2019). *AI and Global HRM: Bridging the Cultural Divide*. Routledge.
- [19]. Sparrow, P. R. (2007). Globalization of HR at function level: Four UK-based case studies of the international recruitment and selection process. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(5), 845-867.
- [20]. Sparrow, P. R., & Bognanno, M. (2019). *Globalizing human resource management* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- [21]. Smith, A. N., & Cockburn, D. (2017). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium model of organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(2), 1-22.
- [22]. Smith, A. N., & Cockburn, D. (2017). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium model of organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(2), 1-22.
- [23]. Sparrow, P. R., & Bognanno, M. (2019). *Globalizing human resource management* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- [24]. Smith, A. N., & Cockburn, D. (2017). Toward a theory of paradox: A dynamic equilibrium model of organizing. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(2), 1-22.